

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2472 of 2014
Date of decision : 20.10.2015

Parma Nand

...Petitioner

Versus

Ajay Kumar and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Mr. Mayank Sharma, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 submits, that matter has been compromised.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Position was not different on the last date of hearing probably for the reasons that matter has been compromised.

In view of the statement made by Mr. Mayank Sharma, learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1 and 2, no cause of action survives in the present petition, therefore, present petition has been rendered disposed of as infructuous.

Liberty is granted to revive the petition if in case aforementioned statement comes to be wrong.

20.10.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**