

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 2586 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.11.2015**

Union of India

... Petitioner

versus

Gurjeet Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Ram Chander, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J.

The objection of the Union is that memo of calculation submitted by it before the Executing court was not considered. The order records merely the absence of the Union's Officer. The order passed already is set aside and the Executing Court will consider the memo of calculation given by the Union and assess whether the calculation is correct and if the amount actually payable by the judgment debtor is not determined and pass a fresh order.

The order already passed in set aside and the revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

19.11.2015

kv