

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.2627 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.03.2015

M/s Life Style International Private Limited ... Petitioner

versus

M/s Indu Bansal Respondent

II. Civil Revision No.2663 of 2013 (O&M)

M/s Life Style International Private Limited ... Petitioner

versus

Mrs. Amrit Bala Gupta and another Respondents

III. Civil Revision No.585 of 2014 (O&M)

M/s Life Style International Private Limited ... Petitioner

versus

Anil Bansal

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Anil Bansal, respondent in CR No.585 of 2014.

None for others.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. All the revision petitions are connected and they are disposed of by a common order.

2. All the petitions are against the order passed by the Civil Judge directing the tenant to deposit the rent by 30.04.2013, failing which, the defence would be struck off. The tenant admitted his status as such but pleaded that there is a clause in the rent agreement that allowed the tenant to retain possession of the property till the advance money paid was refunded. The court, while disposing of the application extracted the mandate under Order 15 Rule 5 CPC to direct the tenant to pay all the admitted arrears and observed that the tenant will have no right to stay on possession without paying the admitted rent. I will also observe that the extent to which the clause in the lease deed could be relied on will be dealt with in the suit since the lease is unregistered. I find no error in the order passed by the court below and decline to make any interference. All the revision petitions are dismissed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

16.03.2015
sanjeev