

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2719 of 2015
Date of Decision.23.04.2015**

Banarsi Lal Dhawan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Prem Lata and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no scope for interfering with the order passed by the Court below that found that there was no justification for moving an application for amendment after the commencement of the trial. Amendment under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC is permissible only to points which in spite of due diligence could not have been stated at the time when the original pleading was filed.

2. There is no illegality in the order passed by the Court below for intervention in the revision petition. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 23, 2015
Pankaj*