

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2703 of 2015

Date of Decision: 23.04.2015

Rakesh Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Smt. Sheela Rani and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Kamal Joshi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SABINA, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition challenging the order dated 11.03.2015, whereby application moved by the plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, was allowed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and have gone through the record, available on the file carefully.

Respondents No. 1 and 2 have filed suit for decree of separate possession by way of partition. When the case was listed for rebuttal evidence of the plaintiffs, an application was moved by the plaintiffs for permission to amend the plaint on the ground that due to typographical error, suit property had not been correctly described in the head note of the plaint.

Learned trial Court rightly allowed the application moved by the plaintiffs for permission to amend the plaint as by way of amendment, plaintiffs only wanted to correct the description of the suit property. In case,

the suit property is allowed to be correctly described, it will benefit all the parties.

Hence, no ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

(SABINA)
JUDGE

April 23, 2015
nitin