

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2700 of 2015
Date of Decision.23.04.2015**

Baljit Kaur Dhillon

.....Petitioner

Versus

Harjinder Gill and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Beant Singh Seemar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The civil revision is untenable and cannot stand a minute's scrutiny. An objection was taken by the defendant by moving an application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC seeking for rejection of the plaint on the ground that the court fee was not paid. The Court while allowing the application ordered the plaintiff to pay the ad valorem court fee. If the Court has passed an order that the court fee is required to be paid ad valorem, there can be no exception to such order.

2. The interference sought by means of a revision petition is untenable and the revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 23, 2015
Pankaj*