

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2697 of 2015

Date of Decision: 23.04.2015

Ajit

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Anita

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Kulwant Singh Dhanora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 16.09.2014, whereby application moved by the respondent under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, (for short 'the Act'), was allowed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record, available on the file carefully.

Petitioner has filed petition under Section 13 of the Act seeking dissolution of the marriage between the parties by a decree of divorce. During the pendency of the petition, respondent moved an application under Section 24 of the Act. The case of the respondent was that petitioner was running business in the name and style of Balaji/AS Trading Co. Ltd. and the petitioner was earning ₹1,50,000/- per month from all sources. On the other hand, the case of the petitioner was that the respondent was working as a teacher in a school at Ladwa and was earning of ₹6,000/- per month.

However, petitioner failed to place on record any document to establish that

the respondent was earning ₹6,000/- per month as alleged by him.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, learned Family Court rightly allowed the application, filed by the petitioner by granting maintenance *pendente lite* @ of ₹7500/- per month to the respondent as respondent is also maintaining her minor daughter. In these days of high prices, the amount of maintenance *pendente lite* allowed by the learned Family Court, cannot be said to be on the higher side.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

(SABINA)
JUDGE

April 23, 2015
nitin