

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 2687 of 2015

Date of Decision: 23.04.2015

Harvinder Pal Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the orders dated 06.02.2012 and 10.10.2014.

Petitioner has filed suit for declaration and permanent injunction. Along with the suit, an application was filed by the petitioner for temporary injunction that the inquiry report dated 20.05.2010 (Annexure P-3) submitted by respondent No. 2 (Additional Deputy Commissioner (G), Jalandhar) be not acted upon.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record, available on the file carefully.

Annexure P-3 is the inquiry report submitted by respondent No. 2 with regard to the dispute of land measuring 2 Kanlas 9 Marlas. A perusal of Annexure P-3 reveals that the Additional Deputy Commissioner (G), Jalandhar, vide its report has recommended that FIR qua fraud and cheating was liable to be registered against the complainant party and revenue

officials, who had entered wrong mutations.

The Courts below rightly held that, at this stage, no direction could be issued for staying the recommendations made by the Inquiry Officer vide Annexure P-3. Parties are yet to lead their evidence in support of their respective pleas. At this stage, petitioner did not have any *prima facie* case or balance of convenience in his favour for grant of relief of interim injunction.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

April 23, 2015
nitin