

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 22, 2015

Smt.Kamlawati and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Satish Kumar Thareja

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. S. S. Dinarpur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. K. S. Khehar, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners has asserted that many opportunities have been granted to the respondent to complete his evidence but still he has not completed the same despite there being an order passed by this Court on 09.07.2013, according to which the rent petition was to be decided by the Rent Controller not beyond the period of nine months from the date of order. The said period of nine months has expired on 10.03.2014 and even thereafter also more than one and a half years have passed but the evidence of the respondent is not yet complete. He, therefore, contends that a direction may be issued to the Rent Controller to conclude the proceedings and pass a final order within some specified time.

Counsel for the respondent, on the other hand, contends that five effective opportunities may be granted to the respondent to conclude his evidence.

This contention of learned counsel for the respondent cannot be accepted in the light of various opportunities, which have been granted by the Rent Controller to lead his evidence. However, three effective opportunities are granted to the respondent to conclude his evidence. It is made clear that the Rent Controller shall not give these three dates beyond a period of 30 days from today. Thereafter he would proceed to decide the case within a period of two months.

With the above observations, this revision stands disposed of.

September 22, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE