

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.2680 of 2015

Date of Decision.04.05.2015

Deepak Aggarwal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Nidhi Bansal

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Tarun Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the revision petition is that the proceedings for setting aside the ex parte decree for money and setting aside the ex parte decree for divorce must be disposed of expeditiously. I cannot understand how a single petition was possible for two different proceedings pending before the Court but even without causing a fetter for maintainability of the petition in the manner in which it has been drafted by the party through power of attorney, I think any direction from this Court for expeditious disposal of the case may not be necessary. It will be possible for the parties to use all the resourcefulness and strength to plead before the Court where the cases are pending for expeditious disposal. The Courts may consider such application and dispose of the case as expeditiously as possible.

2. The revision petition is disposed of as above. I appreciate the services of the counsel for assistance and decent presentation of the

case. The counsel appointed as amicus curiae may be paid ₹ 5000/- as fee from the amicus curiae fund.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

May 04, 2015
Pankaj*