

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2672 of 2015

Date of decision: April 22, 2015

Principal, Partap Public School

.....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Suraksha and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Rajiv Dhawan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 19.01.2015.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record available on the file carefully.

Respondent No.1 has approached the Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 claiming gratuity. During the pendency of the proceedings, petitioner moved an application that proceedings before the respondent No.2 be adjourned *sine die*. The said application was dismissed by the Controlling Authority vide the impugned order. Hence, the present petition.

The Controlling Authority rightly dismissed the application as the parties in the present case, are not in litigation in any higher court on the same issue. Moreover, there was no restraint order by the Apex Court in SLP No.10724 of 2012.

No ground for interference by this Court, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

April 22, 2015

m.singh