

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**CR No. 2391 of 2014
Decided on 06.1.2015**

Surinder Kaur

--Petitioner

Vs.

Bachittar Singh

--Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

To be referred to Reporters or not ?

Whether judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.V. K.Sachdeva, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr.Vivek.K.Thakur, Advocate, for the respondent

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

This petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a direction for an early disposal of application dated 29.9.2012 (Annexure P-6) and also for time bound adjudication of petition (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner under Section 7 read with Section 25 of the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890 for custody of the minor child pending since 24.8.2009 in the Court at Kapurthala.

After notice, the respondent has put in appearance and submits that he has no objection if appropriate direction is issued by this Court to the trial Court, who is seized of the matter to decide the same at an early date.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and examining the record, I am of the considered opinion that the prayer made by the petitioner

is innocuous. Hence, this revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the Court below, who is seized of the matter to decide the application dated 29.9.2012 (Annexure P-6) and the petition (Annexure P-1) attached with this petition, as early as possible, preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, by giving short adjournments to both the parties.

Learned counsel for the parties have also assured this Court that they would not take unnecessary adjournments and would cooperate with the trial Court for early disposal.

06.1.2015
rr

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge