

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2382 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: -14.8.2015

Bhupinder Singh

.....Petitioner.

vs.

Harjit Singh Ubbi and ors

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: - Mr. Randeep S. Hooda, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Morya, Advocate for respondents.

.....

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

.....

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 5.12.2013 (Annexure P-8) passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Jalandhar vide which the secondary evidence of sale deed No. 9035 dated 17.2.1994 registered with the office of sub-Registrar, Jalandhar was allowed. Suffice it to say that earlier plaintiff had filed an application for directing the defendant-respondents to produce the sale deed but respondents had replied that the sale deed is not with them. Therefore, the application filed for leading secondary evidence was allowed.

I am also of the view that the sale deed is a registered document and a copy thereof is produced. There is no question of forgery or manipulation of the documents. It is further added that one

original of the sale deed is kept in the office of sub-Registrar and if any of the parties have any doubt, they can summon the original records.

In view of the said fact, I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the order passed dated 5.12.2013 (Annexure P-8).

Revision stands dismissed accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.8.2015
preeti