

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.2624 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision.21.05.2015

Rishi Traders and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Mohit Sawney and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. R.K. Guggal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.10867-CII of 2015

For the reasons stated in the application, order passed by this Court on 30.04.2015 is recalled the revision petition is restored to its original number.

Application is allowed and the case is taken up for hearing with the consent of the counsel.

C.R. No.2624 of 2015

1. There is no justification for the petitioners to come to this Court to complain about the closure of evidence for the plaintiffs' side. If there was any particular justification for their inability to produce the witnesses, they may apply to the Court for reopening the case and for recall of the order that was passed on 06.08.2014 as contemplated in the judgment in **Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others 2013**

(1) PLR 404.

2. The revision petition is dismissed but with liberty given to the petitioners to approach to the very same Court and secure orders in the manner outlined in the said judgment.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

May 21, 2015
Pankaj*