

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.2615 of 2015

Date of Decision.20.04.2015

Surender Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Kusma

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Parashar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no justification for the petitioner to arrive before this Court to complain that the side was closed on account of his absence. If there is a valid justification for the petitioner, he will have right to apply to the same Court to have the case which was closed to be reopened and seek for permission for examination of further evidence. This point was laid down by this Court after considering the judgments of the Supreme Court in Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others 2013(1) PLR 404. The petitioner will resort to such remedy.

2. The civil revision is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 20, 2015
Pankaj*