

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.2461 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.10.2015

Nanahti @ Nanhi and others

.....Petitioners

versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Sudhir Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr.Samar Vir Singh, DAG Haryana for respondent No.1
Mr.Pardeep Mahajan, Advocate for respondent No.2
Mr.Arun Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.3

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel contends that vide judgment and decree dated 3.9.2015, the learned Additional District Judge, Sonapat has allowed the appeal and the suit has been dismissed, therefore, there is no executable decree. As such, the present revision has become infructuous.

Accordingly, the present revision is disposed of as infructuous as per prayer made.

09.10.2015

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**