

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.2606 of 2015

Date of Decision.20.04.2015

Narinder Kumar Gupta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Arun Chandra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no scope for intervention at the stage of execution when the decree holder can only execute what is provided under the decree. The award provides for a principal amount to be paid within a period of two months from the date of the award and if the amount was not so paid, it would also attract simple interest at 8% per annum. This according to the counsel appearing for the petitioner is erroneous and out of sync with the law laid down by the Supreme Court.

2. I am afraid, I may not be able to help him only because if the award was wrong, it should have been assailed in the manner known to law through application under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act before the Court of competent jurisdiction. If the award has become final and complete, it cannot be modified at the stage of execution.

3. The award is maintained and the civil revision is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 20, 2015
Pankaj*