

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.2588 of 2015

Date of Decision.20.04.2015

Vinod Kumar Chawla

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vinod Kumar

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no justification for the petitioner to arrive before this Court to say that he may be given one more opportunity to let in evidence. The petitioner will have appropriate remedy before the trial Court and resort to a procedure as laid down by this Court in **Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others 2013(1) PLR 404.**

2. The civil revision petition is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 20, 2015
Pankaj*