

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.2569 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 20.10.2015

Jagmal

... Petitioner

Versus

Gurminder Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Vide order dated 07.01.2012, rendered by the Rent Controller, Bathinda, the entire arrears of rent qua the demised premises were assessed, w.e.f. 01.08.2007 till 31.01.2012, at ₹ 36,00,739.70. In an appeal preferred by the petitioner-tenant, the order passed by the Rent Controller was affirmed and consequently, the appeal was dismissed. That is how, the tenant is before this court vide this civil revision.

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that since the petitioner had already deposited a sum of ₹ 31,14,650/-, the same shall be adjusted against the amount assessed by the Rent Controller i.e. ₹ 36,00,739.70, vide order dated 07.01.2012.

And, the balance, along with the arrears of rent w.e.f. February, 2012 till date, shall be deposited within a period of nine months from today. However, the future provisional rent shall be deposited @ ₹ 30,000/- per month. This arrangement, however, is wholly provisional in nature and shall be subject to the final decision by the Rent Controller.

Ordered, accordingly.

Revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

October 20, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge