

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Revision No. 2388 of 2005

DATE OF DECISION : November 03, 2015

Firm Manohar Cloth Store & ors.

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

Smt. Promila Anand and ors.

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

**Present: Mr. Sharad Mehra, Advocate, for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioners.**

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

On 24.09.2015, this Court had passed the following
order :-

**“As per office report, respondents No.2, 3, 4 & 6
were not found to be residing at the given addresses.**

**Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and
is granted a week's time to furnish the fresh process
fee and current addresses of the said respondents.
And, upon compliance, let fresh notices be issued to
the said respondents for 03.11.2015.”**

Office report shows that notices could not be issued to
respondents No.2 to 4 and 6 as counsel for the petitioners did not
furnish the process fee and their current addresses.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that repeated
letters/reminders issued to the petitioners have not been

responded to and none of the petitioners or anybody on their

behalf has contacted his office for quite some time. That being so, he submits that the petition be dismissed for non-prosecution with a liberty to the petitioners to move an appropriate application for restoration of the revision petition, in case any dispute/ cause of action/ interest still survives.

That being so, the revision petition is taken on Board and the same is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty as prayed for.

NOVEMBER 03, 2015
Kang

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE