

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Civil Revision No. 2523 of 2015
Date of Decision: 10.4.2015.**

Tanjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Jatinder Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. M.S.Atwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India challenging the order dated 29.1.2015 (Annexure P-4) whereby application moved by the respondent under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 ('Act' for short), was allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that respondent is permanent resident of Australia. Respondent had left the company of the petitioner of her own accord in the year 2010. In fact, petitioner is only earning ₹ 4500/-per month as he is working as a driver.

Petitioner has filed petition under Section 13 of the Act seeking decree of divorce. Case of the petitioner, in brief, is that he got married to the respondent on 18.9.2008 against the wishes of their parents. Thereafter, respondent left for Australia in the year 2009 without informing the petitioner. However, respondent

returned to India in January 2010 but had not contacted the petitioner. During the pendency of the petition, respondent moved an application under Section 24 of the Act. The Trial Court vide impugned order dated 29.1.2015 has granted ₹ 5,000/- per month by way of interim maintenance to the respondent. The said amount in these days of high prices cannot be said to be on a higher side. At this stage, there is nothing on record to suggest that petitioner is only earning ₹ 4500/- per month.

No ground for interference by this Court is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

April 10, 2015
Gurpreet