

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(119)

CR No.2503 of 2015

Decided on: April 9, 2015.

Kanwaljit Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

Rajpal Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. S.S. Panag, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

The application filed by the petitioner for amendment of plaint to incorporate a plea in the plaint that the sale deed executed by defendant No.1 father in favour of defendant No.2 his minor son is null and void, defendant No.2 being minor, stands dismissed vide impugned order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the factum of respondent No.2 being minor was not in the knowledge of the petitioner earlier and that the amendment would be necessary for effectively deciding the suit.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the impugned order.

The sale deed executed by a minor may be a patent illegality and nullity but prima facie, there does not appear to be a bar for a minor to acquire property. Application to amend the plaint appears to have been rightly dismissed.

No ground is made out for interference.

Dismissed.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

April 9, 2015