

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.2380 of 2013

Date of Decision.05.05.2015

Rajender Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Administrator, HUDA, Gurgaon and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. R.D. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Gaur, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 and 3.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The order of rejection of application under Order 9 Rule 9 CPC is appealable under Order 43 Rule 1 CPC. The revision petition is not maintainable.

2. The revision petition is dismissed but the petitioner will have a liberty to prefer an appeal. If there is delay in preferring the appeal, the petitioner may explain the cause for such delay by means of application under Section 14 of the Limitation Act. If such application is accompanied with the grounds of appeal, the Appellate Court will consider the same and pass appropriate orders.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 05, 2015
Pankaj*