

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-2427-2015 (112)
Decided on: April 23, 2015

Bhagwan Dass

.... Petitioner

Versus

Madhu Sudan and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: None for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

An application filed by respondents No.2 and 3 under Order 1
Rule 10 CPC has been allowed.

The plaintiff aggrieved by the said order, has filed the present
revision petition.

After going through the impugned order, it appears that the
plaintiff-petitioner has filed a suit for declaration claiming that he is owner
of the property in dispute. In previous litigation, the respondents No.2 and 3
along with respondent No.1-Madhu Sudan were parties to the suit. In the
present suit filed by the petitioner, he has not impleaded respondents No.2
and 3 as party. Taking into consideration the fact that title of the plaintiff is
to be finally declared over the property in dispute in the present suit,
respondents No.2 and 3 have been impleaded as party by the trial Court
observing that for proper adjudication of the matter and to extract truth of
the matter involved, they are necessary parties.

No ground is made out for interference in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

April 23, 2015

Bhumika