

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2323 of 2013

Date of decision: May 07, 2015

Ajit Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Lala Ram and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Keshav Partap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent Nos.1 to 10.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, Advocate
for the respondent Nos.12 and 13.

SABINA, J

Petitioners have filed this petition challenging the order dated 27.02.2013, whereby application moved by the petitioners to lead additional evidence, was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record available on the file carefully.

Petitioners have filed suit for declaration and permanent injunction. Suit was filed by the petitioners on 23.04.2007. Petitioners/plaintiffs closed their evidence on 06.05.2011. Thereafter, defendants closed their evidence and when the case was listed for rebuttal evidence, if any, of the plaintiffs and arguments, petitioners moved an application for permission to lead additional evidence. The learned trial

Court rightly dismissed the application moved by the petitioners for permission to lead additional evidence as the petitioners could not be allowed to lead such evidence at the rebuttal stage, which they were required to lead in their evidence in the affirmative. Petitioners now want to prove revenue record and Goswaras. The said documents were liable to be proved on record by the petitioners while they were leading their evidence in the affirmative.

No ground for interference by this Court, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

May 07, 2015

m.singh