

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**214-a**

**Civil Revision No.225 of 2012(O & M)  
Date of Decision:10.09.2015**

Karnail Singh

....petitioner

Versus

Harjit Singh @ Billu and another

.....respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?  
2.To be referred to the Reporters or not?  
3.Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Munish Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner

Mr.Harwinder Singh, Advocate  
for the respondents

\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-**

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits, at the outset, that as the suit itself has since been decided, nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of, as having become infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

**10.09.2015**

*neenu*

**(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE**