

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2107 of 2014

Date of Decision: 10.04.2015

Gurpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Jasbir Kaur and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. G.S. Nagra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 24.04.2013 and 07.12.2013, whereby application moved by the petitioner under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short 'CPC') was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record available on the file, carefully.

Petitioner has filed suit for declaration challenging the sale deed dated 02.11.2012 alleged to have been executed by her in favour of respondent No.1 in collusion with respondent No.2. The appellate Court while dismissing the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order of the trial Court, whereby her application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC was dismissed, has held that Harpreet Kaur sister of the petitioner had also transferred her share of land in favour of respondent No.1 vide gift deed dated 11.02.2013. Petitioner had executed sale deed in favour of her mother

Jasbir Kaur qua her share. The sale deed is a registered document and has been duly signed by the petitioner. In the revenue record entries were incorporated on the basis of sale deed in question.

In the facts and circumstances of the present case, both the Courts below rightly held that the petitioner was not entitled for the grant of relief of interim injunction.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

(SABINA)
JUDGE

April 10, 2015
nitin