

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.3228 of 2004
Date of Decision.07.07.2015**

Ramesh KumarPetitioner

Versus

Ram LalRespondent

Present: Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate and
Ms. Anita Wadhwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the premises have already been vacated by the respondents. No further orders are necessary.

2. The civil revision is dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**July 07, 2015
Pankaj***