

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

VARINDER SINGH  
2015.04.07 07:45  
Attest to the accuracy and integrity  
of this document  
Punjab & Haryana High Court at  
Chandigarh

**CR No. 2207 of 2013 (O&M)**

Date of decision : 26.3.2015

Hukum Chand

.. Petitioner

versus

Aman Kumar and others

.. Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal**

Present: Mr. R. S. Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. A. K. Kansal, Advocate, for respondent nos. 4 and 5.

**Rajesh Bindal, J.**

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 21.3.2013 vide which the application of the petitioner-plaintiff for impleading Suraj Mukhi son of Jage Ram, resident of village Shamo, Pirthi, Lachman sons of Maman son of Devatia, residents of village Pegan, and Roshni Devi wife of Ram Kala resident of village Shamdo as party has been dismissed.

The application was filed to implead the aforesaid persons as defendants on the ground that during the pendency of the suit, despite interim stay, the defendants have sold the property to them. The learned Court below had dismissed the application filed by the petitioner-plaintiff on the ground that principle of lis pendens apply and the suit was at the fag end.

There is no legal infirmity in the reasons so recorded by the learned court below declining the prayer made by the petitioner in the application for impleading the subsequent vendees as party to the suit as they step in the shoes of the vendors.

Dismissed.

26.3.2015

vs

( Rajesh Bindal )  
Judge