

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.2284 of 2015

Date of Decision.01.04.2015

Dr. Sham Lal Thukral

.....Petitioner

Versus

Kartar Singh Jaura and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Rajinder Kumar Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner's only apprehension is that the Presiding Officer ought not to bear any grudge against the petitioner by his attempt to approach the Court for a transfer of the case.

2. A Judge has hardly at any time any axe to grind for the ultimate outcome. Every litigant who is aggrieved by decision and who prefers an appeal has found that a particular reasoning adopted by a Judge is not correct. It is daily experience that our own orders are challenged in various forums and if a decision is assailed in higher forum, there is no need for a judge to take umbrage by the act of the party. Judges are, therefore, trained in their mind not to take personally to the manner in which parties perceive their judgments. I will have little doubt that the Presiding Officer will keep anything in her mind against a party for approaching the Court for transfer of the case.

I would assure the petitioner that the case will be decided objectively in

the manner that the case deserves and I would like to believe that the same sentiment will be very strongly ingrained in the mind of the Presiding Officer also.

3. With this solemn observation, I bring the curtains down and dispose of the civil revision as requiring no intervention.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

April 01, 2015
Pankaj*