

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.2269 of 2015

Date of decision:31.10.2015

Chaman Lal Sarangal

... Petitioner

versus

Smt. Kiran Sarangal and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Ranjan, Advocate, for Mr. Anish Setia,
Advocate, for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J. (*Oral*)

1. It is stated that PW1 and PW2 could not be cross-examined by the inability of the counsel to appear in court and undertake the exercise. The court would observe that there was no justification made and treated the evidence on behalf of the defendant as Nil and the cross-examination of PW1 and PW2 as Nil. In a normal situation, I would have dismissed the revision as incompetent but since a notice has already been issued, I make intervention on condition that the petitioner pays the defendant costs of ₹15,000/- to be paid within a period of 2 weeks to avail himself the opportunity of cross-examination of PW1 and PW2 and to reopen his own side to tender his evidence as well. If the cost is not paid as directed, the order already passed will stand confirmed.

2. The revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

31.10.2015
sanjeev