

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2237 of 2015**

**Date of Decision : 31.3.2015**

M/s Mohan Lal and Company and anr.

.....Petitioners

Versus

M/s Samrat Sales

.....Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM**

**Present:** Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**GURMIT RAM, J.**

This revision petition has been filed by the petitioners-landlords against the order dated 17.12.2012 (Annexure P-4) vide which the evidence of the petitioner-landlords was closed by order and the order dated 27.2.2015 (Annexure P-7) vide which the application filed for recalling the order (Annexure P4) was dismissed.

2. As per the records, the petitioner-landlords had availed of eight opportunities including four last opportunities to conclude their evidence but they did not conclude the same which ultimately was closed by order vide order (Annexure P-4) dated 17.12.2012. The application filed by them for recalling this order was also dismissed on 27.2.2015 vide order (Annexure P-7).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner-landlords has contended that the petitioners want to examine only one witness i.e.

landlord and as such in the interest of justice one more opportunity be granted to them to conclude their evidence.

4. In the light of the above circumstances as well as in the interest of justice, the petitioner-landlords are granted one more opportunity to conclude their evidence before the learned Rent Controller in this case but subject to the payment of cost of Rs.10,000/-, half of which be deposited in the legal aid fund and the remaining half be paid to the respondent-tenant. The petitioners-landlords through their counsel are further directed to appear before the learned Rent Controller on 10.4.2015 positively and in that eventuality the learned Rent Controller will grant them one more effective opportunity to produce their evidence by fixing a date subject to his work adjustment with due notice to the respondent-tenant.

5. In view of the above observations, the present revision petition stands allowed and disposed of accordingly.

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner-landlords fail to comply with any of the above-said conditions then in that eventuality, this revision petition shall stand dismissed and the impugned order is to remain intact.

**31.3.2015**  
**Brij**

**(GURMIT RAM)**  
**JUDGE**