

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.M. NO.4980 CII OF 2014 &
C. R. NO.1944 OF 2014

DATE OF DECISION: DECEMBER 04, 2015

Balwinder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Sh. Atma Nand Jain Sabha (Pathshala) Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Bikram S. Dhillon, Advocate for
Mr. N. S. Boparai, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners states that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the vacant possession has also been handed over to the respondent-landlord by the petitioner-tenants. The petitioners, therefore, do not want to press the present revision petition.

As per the statement made by counsel for the petitioners, the petition is dismissed as not pressed.

December 04, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE