

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

[238]

Civil Revision No.193 of 2014
Date of Decision: 17.11.2015.

Jagsir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Nagar Panchayat Pharwahi and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Tribhuvan Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J.(ORAL)

An application of the defendant No.2-revisionist under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC for directing the plaintiff to pay the ad valorem Court fee has been dismissed by learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Barnala on 04.12.2013.

It comes out that the Nagar Panchayat Pharwahi, Tehsil & District Barnala has filed a civil suit for declaration, wherein a sale deed and judgment and decree dated 14.02.2008 and 23.01.2009 are claimed to be not binding upon the rights of the plaintiff. The Lower Court has taken the view that plaintiff Nagar Panchayat is not a party to the said documents. Therefore, ad valorem Court fee is

Civil Revision No.193 of 2014

[2]

not required to be fixed. There is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

The present revision stands dismissed.

November 17, 2015

Ankur

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**