

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2087 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 7, 2015

Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Shakuntla Devi

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. AP Kaushal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The revision is against an interim order. The counsel for the respondent says that the suit has been dismissed in default. It is stated that an application for restoration is filed. The revision is dismissed with the liberty to apply for revival, if the suit is restored.

July 7, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE