

**235 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.1916 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: September 07, 2015**

M/s Akash Tanning Co. and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

Punjab National Bnak and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rohit Suri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. N.C. Sahni, Advocate for respondent No.1.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order dated 10.01.2014 passed by the Presiding Officer, Debt Recovery Tribunal-II, Chandigarh (in short 'the Tribunal'), whereby the application of the present petitioner for amendment of the written statement was dismissed.

Suffice to say that the dispute is pending before the Tribunal. In the said dispute, ex parte order was passed. The same was challenged before the Debt Recovery Appellate Tribunal, New Delhi, which set aside the order and remanded the case back to the Tribunal for deciding it afresh, in accordance with law, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the parties.

After appearance, the petitioner-respondent sought amendment of the written statement to plead some information obtained vide letter dated 30.11.2009.

I am of the view that the matter before the Tribunal is lingering for long and any attempt to plead such information will delay the disposal of the matter. The cases before the Tribunal are expected to be decided expeditiously.

Therefore, I do not find any ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to bring such information to the notice of the Tribunal by way of filing of affidavit.

September 07, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE