

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.2181 of 2015
Date of decision: 28.11.2015

Sharanjit Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Madanjit Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rohit Ahuja, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Somanth Saini, Advocate for respondent No.1.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Vide order being assailed dated 07.02.2015, rendered by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Kapurthala, application moved by the petitioners/plaintiffs, under Order 1 Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and consequently a prayer to amend the plaint, had since been dismissed.

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the parties have reached a consensus that, for the application moved by the petitioners/plaintiffs lacked material particulars and was vague, petitioners may move a fresh application with better particulars and details, which could be considered and decided by the trial court.

That being so, the order dated 07.02.2015 is set aside and the petitioners are set at liberty to move a fresh application, which if filed, shall be considered and decided, strictly in accordance with law, by the trial court.

Revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

November 28, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge