

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.2160 of 2015

Date of decision: 04.09.2015

Satya Devi and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Nirmala

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

In reference to the decision rendered by this Court in **Civil Revision No.3290 of 2014**, titled "Ajay Partap Singh vs. Gurdial Singh and others", decided on 13.05.2015, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the revision petition, so as to enable the petitioner to file an appeal before the Appellate Authority against the order that has been assailed.

It is submitted that as 15 days' time was granted to the petitioner by this Court in the aforesaid matter, to file an appeal, the petitioner too shall be given two weeks' time to avail the remedy by way of an appeal.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty to file an appeal within a period of two weeks from today.

September 4, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge