

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.2159 of 2015

Date of decision:26.03.2015

Bachan Singh (since deceased) through his legal heirs

... Petitioners

versus

Narinder Kapoor and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sham Lal Bhalla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is aggrieved that the case, which is a 9 years old, is not getting disposed of. He may wail or weep, but that is the malady afflicting the system and he may sink or sail with it. Though it is a daily experience that the cases get adjourned for no big reasons and if there is a judicial officer, who is strict about granting adjournment, he will vilified; if he is indulgent, then there is still a complaint. Unless we join in our efforts to see that systematic changes take place where cases can move without obstruction, there is no point in requiring officers to dispose of cases expeditiously by direction every now and then. Umpteen reasons exist why a case cannot be disposed of. The petitioner may use of his resourcefulness before the court where the case is pending and

bring to fore his forensic skills of advocacy to impress upon the judicial officer that the case must be disposed of. I will only hope that the Judge responds to the situation in the manner that the case deserves.

2. With these observations, the civil revision is disposed of.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

26.03.2015
sanjeev