

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2142 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.11.2015

Sham Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Balbir Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr.Parvez Akhtar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunny K.Singla, Advocate for the respondent.

K.Kannan, J.(Oral)

There is a prayer for adjournment. The case as regards reception of secondary evidence made by the respondent that the original was missing. No exception could be taken to such an order for mere reception will not dispense with proof of the documents. It will be fully competent for the petitioner to elicit that the ground mentioned for production of secondary evidence was false or there was no justification for production of secondary evidence. The Court will consider the same at the conclusion of the argument in the course of its judgment. The manner of how secondary evidence is brought into court will be addressed has been considered by this Court in *Anupam Jain Vs. Kulwant Gupta CR 2991 of 2012 dated 3.3.2015*.

The order is maintained and revision petition is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

27.11.2015

Meenu