

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 2969 of 2002 (O&M)

Date of decision : 31.8.2015

Surji and others

..... Appellants

VS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Sudhir Mittal, Advocate and
Mr. Gaurav Aggarwal, Advocate, for the landowners.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal J.

This order will dispose a bunch of appeals bearing RFA Nos. 2969 of 2002, 5600, 5810 of 2008, 69 of 2009, 332 and 354 of 2010, as the same arise out of common acquisition.

The landowners are before this Court seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Briefly the facts are that vide notification dated 12.9.1992 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act') the State of Haryana sought to acquire land in the revenue estates of villages Asalwas, Patuhera, Chirhara and Jaliawas, Tehsil Bawal, District Rewari for setting up of Industrial Growth Centre at Bawal. The same was followed by notification dated 3.9.1993 issued under Section 6 of the Act. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector'), vide different awards assessed compensation for the acquired land of different villages at different rates. Dissatisfied with the awards of the Collector, the landowners filed objections. On reference under Section 18 of the Act, the learned Court below determined the market value of the acquired land of different villages at different rates. Aggrieved against the awards of the learned court below, the landowners are in appeal before this Court.

Learned counsel for the landowners submitted that the issue raised in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by judgment of

Hon'ble the Supreme Court passed in Civil Appeal Nos.727-800 of 2015-
Omkar Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others, decided on
20.1.2015, whereby the compensation for the land acquired vide same
notification was further enhanced.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Omkar Singh' case
(supra), the appeals filed by the landowners are disposed of in the same
terms.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

31.8.2015

sharmila