

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2022 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision.14.08.2015**

Inderjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Didar Singh

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Rakesh Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Pandher, Advocate
for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the suit in which an interim order passed and which has been challenged before this Court in revision petition has itself been disposed of and the revision petition has become infructuous.

2. The revision petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**August 14, 2015
Pankaj***