

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Revision No. 192 of 2005 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION : September 16, 2015

Mewa Singh

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

Narinder Kumar Jain

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

**Present: Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Divay Sarup, Advocate, for the respondent.**

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that since he has already vacated the demised premises and handed over possession to the petitioner, nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of as having become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though he does not have any concrete instructions in this regard, the petition be disposed of as having become infructuous. However, in case, any interest/cause of action still survives, the petitioner be granted liberty to move the necessary application for revival of the petition, for decision on merits.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

**SEPTEMBER 16, 2015
Kang**

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**