

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.2053 of 2015

Date of Decision.23.03.2015

Vishav Kant Nagpal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Rajiv Chanana

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petition is needless exercise for approaching this Court to secure an additional opportunity for cross-examination. The petitioner will approach the very same Court and seek for such permission. The Court will pass appropriate order on the reasons set forth in the application as to why he could not cross-examine, following the dictum laid down by this Court in **Santhosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others 2013(1) PLR 404.**

2. The revision petition is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 23, 2015
Pankaj*