

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

661

**Civil Revision No.1888 of 2005
Date of Decision:14.10.2015**

Gaurav Garg

....petitioner

Versus

Managing Committee, Public Model School, Dhuri and another

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Mahipal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

None for the respondents

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that since the respondent-tenant has vacated the premises and restored possession to the petitioner, nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be dismissed as having become infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

14.10.2015

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**