

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1735 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 6.10.2015

M/s Singhal Exports and others Revisionists

Versus

Food Corporation of India and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate,
for the revisionists.

Mr. Atul Gaur, Advocate, for,
Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate, for respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 14.1.2014 (Annexure-P-7), passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ferozepur, vide which the application of the present revisionists for de-exhibiting the documents exhibited during the course of evidence of plaintiff, was dismissed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the file.

After hearing both the parties, the present revision is disposed of with the observations that the documents, which have been exhibited, would be opened for fresh scrutiny at the time of

CR No. 1735 of 2014 (O/M)

final disposal of the case by the lower Court. It will always be open to the present revisionists to plead before the lower Court that the documents were not exhibited in accordance with law and that the Court should exercise powers under Order 13 Rule 3 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 ('CPC') to reject any document which it considers irrelevant or otherwise inadmissible, mode of proof, reliability, authenticity or the existence/non-existence of the documents at final stage.

The present revision is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

6.10.2015
sjks