

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Civil Revision No. 2003 of 2015
Date of Decision: 16.07.2015.**

Baldev Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Pakhar Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. F.S.Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 227 of Constitution of India challenging the order dated 11.12.1014 (Annexure P-6) vide which the objections filed by the petitioner were dismissed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record available on the file carefully.

Respondent No. 1 had filed suit for recovery against respondent No. 2. Suit was decreed by the Trial Court vide judgment/decreed dated 23.11.2009. In execution proceedings, warrant of attachment of the property of the petitioner was issued and it was further ordered that only amount to the tune of ₹ 1,00,000/- could be recovered from the petitioner. Petitioner had furnished surety bond Annexure P-1 to the extent of ₹ 1,00,000/- wherein he had undertaken that in case the suit of the plaintiff was decreed and defendant failed to make the payment, then he would

be responsible to make the payment to the tune of ₹ 1,00,000/-.

Thus, the impugned order had been passed in terms of the surety bond executed by the petitioner.

Hence, no ground for interference by this Court is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

July 16, 2015
Gurpreet