

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

255

**Civil Revision No.1975 of 2015 (O & M)
Date of Decision:17.08.2015**

Atma Singhpetitioner

Versus

Surinder Singhrespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Ms.Rajwinder Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has vacated the demised premises, nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be dismissed as having become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

17.08.2015
neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**