

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGRH**

CR No. 1945 of 2015(O&M)

Date of decision: 7.12.2015

Gram Panchayat Phalsanda Rangran, District Kurukshetra

.....Petitioner

versus

Mam Raj and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amit Rawal

Present: Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Minakshi Poswal, Advocate for
Mr.R.S.Mamli, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner states that suit has been decided.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, no cause of action survives in the present petition.

Revision petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

7.12.2015
Meenu