

585

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2940 of 2004
Date of decision: 17.09.2015

Chan Parkash

....Petitioner

Versus

Gopi Chand Mukesh Chand

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Kulbhushan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits at the very outset that the demised premises has already been vacated by the respondent-tenant and possession has been restored to the petitioner. Thus, nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of as having been infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

September 17, 2015

anju